



A Smokefree Aotearoa - could taking the nicotine out of smoked tobacco products help us get there?

5 September 2021

Nick Wilson, Janet Hoek, Nhung Nghiem, Jennifer Summers, Leah Grout, Richard Edwards

This blog presents key findings from a modelling study where we estimated the impact that denicotinising smoked tobacco products could have on smoking prevalence in Aotearoa New Zealand. A policy requiring that all smoked tobacco products are virtually nicotine free is one of the key proposals included in the Smokefree 2025 Action Plan Discussion Document that was published in April this year.

In April 2021, the NZ Government published an <u>Action Plan Discussion Document</u> (APDD) setting out measures that could realise the Smokefree 2025 Goal. The document presents a comprehensive strategy to achieve rapid and equitable reductions in smoking. The APDD

includes a proposal to reduce nicotine in smoked tobacco products to very low levels (known as very low nicotine cigarettes or VLNCs). The APDD also proposes mandating VLNCs, so that these are the only smoked tobacco products available for sale in NZ. A previous <u>blog explored the potential advantages and disadvantages of this policy</u>.

This blog summarises estimates of the likely impact of a denicotinisation policy from a New Zealand modelling study that we recently published as a <u>pre-print on the medRxiv site</u>.¹

Methods

Using an Excel spreadsheet populated with NZ Health Survey smoking/vaping prevalence data, we modelled trends to 2025 in a business-as-usual (BAU) scenario and with mandated denicotinisation of smoked tobacco products. The assumptions and parameters we used are outlined in the table for the base case scenario.

Parameter	Assumption
Implementation year	The denicotinisation law passed in 2022 and was implemented from 1 March 2023
Smoking and vaping prevalence trends (BAU)	Recent average rates of decline in smoking prevalence (2011/12 to 2019/2020) and increase in vaping prevalence (2018/19 to 2019/2020) for all groups continued to 2025
Impact of VLNC policy on quitting	From 2023, 33% of people who smoke would quit each year and the remainder would continue to smoke using either denicotinised tobacco or regular tobacco (obtained via illicit supply or via home-grown tobacco)
Impact of VLNC policy on vaping	From 2023, of those who quit smoking, half would quit all nicotine products and half would begin using vaping products
Impact of VLNC policy on smoking initiation	From 2023, initiation of smoking in the 18-24-year-old age- group would be reduced by 75%.

Further details on the basis for these assumptions are available in the pre-print paper.¹

We also modelled the impacts of the denicotinisation policy with varying assumptions:

- Scenario 1: using alternative assumptions for quitting, switching to vaping products, and initiating smoking from an expert elicitation exercise;
- Scenario 2: base case scenario plus doubling of mass media campaigns for Quitline support.

Results

We estimated that the commonly used indicator of achieving Smokefree 2025 of under 5% smoking prevalence will be achieved for the overall population in all scenarios (e.g. 3.1% in the base case scenario).

Figures 1 and 2 show the outcomes of the modelling for Māori and non-Māori. All scenarios show major reductions in smoking prevalence for both Māori and non-Māori compared to BAU, although in the base case scenario and scenario 2 Māori smoking prevalence was still above 5%.





Figure 1: Estimated daily smoking prevalence among Māori for the business-asusual (BAU) projection and as a result of a tobacco denicotinisation policy

Figure 2: Estimated daily smoking prevalence among non-Māori in the businessas-usual (BAU) projection and as a result of a tobacco denicotinisation policy



In the base case scenario, smoking prevalence by 2025 was 7.7% for Māori (compared to 24.2% BAU) and for non-Māori was 2.7% (8.0% BAU). For scenario 1, smoking prevalence in 2025 was 2.5% for Māori and 0.9% for non-Māori and in scenario 2 it was 5.2% and 1.9%, respectively. Daily vaping prevalence in the overall population increased from 3.5% in 2020 to between 7.6% and 10.7% in the three scenarios.

The findings suggest that a tobacco denicotinisation policy could achieve, or come close to

achieving, the NZ Government's Smokefree 2025 Goal. However, achieving the goal for Māori would likely require additional measures that go beyond the increased media campaigns and enhanced Quitline support outlined in scenario 2. These could include the complementary strategies outlined in the APDD, such as greatly reducing the retail availability of smoked tobacco products and introducing a "smokefree generation" intervention.

Discussion

While these estimates are encouraging, the findings of this high-level modelling should be treated as preliminary due to limitations of the model and uncertainties regarding the underlying assumptions, particularly the impacts on quitting smoking and smoking initiation.

The quit rates after implementation were based on 6-month quit rates from a large NZ randomised controlled trial.²³ The trial findings may over-estimate quit rates because trial participants were smokers motivated to quit who had contacted the Quitline; and no allowance was made for subsequent relapse to smoking. Nonetheless, the trial findings may underestimate quit rates as the study took place in 2009-10 when alternatives like e-cigarettes were not available. Furthermore, although participants were provided with VLNC cigarettes, the trial could not simulate a mandated denicotinisation policy as nicotine-containing cigarettes remained freely available for purchase. The net impact of these countervailing factors is uncertain.

VLNCs' impacts on smoking initiation are unknown, but the substantial effect that we have assumed is highly plausible, as denicotinised cigarettes will neither create nor sustain addiction. In addition, VLNCs are likely to have much less appeal to young people than vaping products that do contain nicotine.

The potential impact of the policy on the illicit market and use of home-grown tobacco creates additional uncertainty. Home-grown tobacco is unlikely to be a significant factor, given the difficulties of growing and curing tobacco within NZ (commercial growing was <u>largely confined to the Motueka area</u> and curing tobacco at home will be impractical for most people).

Regarding illicit trade, the most recent independent estimates suggest this comprises 1.8%-3.8% of the market in NZ.⁴ Preliminary findings from an ongoing littered pack survey currently underway, suggest this figure remains accurate. International commentators have argued that illicit trade is highly unlikely to undermine the substantial positive effects of a denicotinisation policy.⁵ As argued in a previous blog, the best response to potential increases in illicit trade is to build on NZ's strong border controls and relative geographical isolation, with further enhancements to surveillance and enforcement. The APDD proposes exactly these measures.

More sophisticated modelling based on more epidemiologically precise methods is highly desirable. Such modelling can also describe levels of uncertainty and quantify impacts on quality-adjusted life-years saved, health inequities, and savings in health costs.⁶⁻⁸

In summary, the findings from this modelling study suggest that requiring all smoked tobacco products to be denicotinised could have a profound impact on reducing smoking prevalence and should be a core component of the action plan to achieve the NZ Government's Smokefree 2025 Goal. Implementing this measure as part of a

comprehensive strategy as envisaged in the APDD will maximise the chances of success – particularly for Māori.

* Author details: Nick Wilson, Janet Hoek, Nhung Nghiem, Jennifer Summers, Leah Grout and Richard Edwards are based in the Department of Public Health, University of Otago, Wellington.

References

- 1. x Wilson N, Hoek J, Nghiem N, et al. Report on Preliminary High-Level Modelling Estimates of Impacts on Smoking Prevalence of Major Tobacco Control Interventions in Aotearoa New Zealand. *medRxiv* 2021 (23 August).
- 2. Walker N, Howe C, Bullen C, et al. Study protocol for a randomised trial of nicotinefree cigarettes as an adjunct to usual NRT-based cessation practice, in people who wish to stop smoking. *BMC Public Health* 2011;11(1):37.
- 3. Walker N, Howe C, Bullen C, et al. The combined effect of very low nicotine content cigarettes, used as an adjunct to usual Quitline care (nicotine replacement therapy and behavioural support), on smoking cessation: a randomized controlled trial. *Addiction* 2012;107(10):1857-67.
- 4. Ajmal A, Veng Ian U. Tobacco tax and the illicit trade in tobacco products in New Zealand. *Aust N Z J Public Health* 2015;39(2):116-20.
- Lindblom EN. Illicit Trade Poses No Threat to an FDA Rule to Minimize Nicotine in Smoked Tobacco Products. Am J Public Health 2019;109(7):960-61. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2019.305138 [published Online First: 2019/06/06]
- 6. Nghiem N, Cleghorn C, Leung W, et al. A National Quitline Service and its Promotion in the Mass Media: Modelling the Health Gain, Health Equity, and Cost-Utility. *Tob Control* 2018;27:434–41.
- van der Deen FS, Wilson N, Blakely T. A continuation of 10% annual tobacco tax increases until 2020: Modelling results for smoking prevalence by sex and ethnicity. N Z Med J 2016;129(1441):94-97.
- 8. van der Deen FS, Wilson N, Cleghorn CL, et al. Impact of five tobacco endgame strategies on future smoking prevalence, population health and health system costs: two modelling studies to inform the tobacco endgame. *Tob Control* 2018;27:278-86.

Public Health Expert Briefing (ISSN 2816-1203)

Source URL:

https://www.phcc.org.nz/briefing/smokefree-aotearoa-could-taking-nicotine-out-smoked-tob acco-products-help-us-get-there