

# An open letter to Cabinet Ministers from 74 health professors calling for a sugary drinks tax

2 April 2016

*In this Public Health Expert blog, we reproduce a letter that appeared in the NZ Herald on 2 April 2016. Professors Boyd Swinburn, Rod Jackson, and Cliona Ni Mhurchu led the writing.*

Dear Cabinet Ministers,

We are very concerned by New Zealand's appallingly high rate of childhood obesity, the [fourth highest in the world](#). In addition, every year more than [5000 children](#) under 8 years old require general anaesthetic operations to remove rotten teeth (1). We applaud the government for making childhood obesity a national health priority, however, its [action plan](#) of 22 'soft' strategies, which was launched last year with no extra funding, is not sufficient to change current trends. We urge you to implement a significant tax on sugary drinks as a core component of strengthened strategies to reduce childhood obesity and dental caries.

Multiple authoritative bodies world-wide have reviewed the available evidence on sugary drinks taxes (see Annex 1, after signatures). They have concluded that such taxes are likely to be one of the most cost-effective interventions available and have recommended that they should be part of a comprehensive approach to reduce childhood obesity. The recent [WHO Commission on Ending Childhood Obesity](#), co-chaired by Sir Peter Gluckman, the Prime Minister's Chief Science Advisor, recommended a tax on sugary drinks as its number 2 recommendation. Health Minister, Jonathan Coleman, will vote to endorse the Commission's recommendations at the World Health Assembly this month. After the Minister says 'yes' to the WHO Commission's report in front of world health ministers in Geneva, it would be opportune and show great leadership to return and say 'yes' to one of its main recommendations in front of New Zealand children, adolescents and their parents.

The sugary drinks companies, speaking through the [Food and Grocery Council](#), are behaving exactly like the tobacco industry when faced with the prospect of effective policies aimed at reducing the consumption of their products. The arguments, which the industry lobby group repeats, aim to create doubt in the public's mind and spook politicians into inaction. Fortunately, the public are not so easily confused and there is majority support for a sugary drinks tax (over 80% in [Herald Poll](#) last week). Cabinet Ministers should not allow industry tactics to frighten them off implementing cost-effective policies that could change current trends and help to create a legacy of declining obesity, diabetes and dental caries. The industry arguments are as readily refuted for sugary drinks as they were for tobacco (see Annex 2, after signatures).

Dr Coleman has rightly [said](#) on many occasions that there are no magic bullets for reducing childhood obesity. His statements mean that it is [an untenable argument](#) to wait for magic bullet evidence before acting. Indeed, the evidence supporting sugary drinks taxes is stronger than the evidence for any of the 22 strategies in the government's existing plan. In addition, a sugary drinks tax would be expected to raise [\\$30-\\$40 million](#) which could be used to boost funding for obesity prevention programs.

Recently, the UK Cabinet, backed by a thorough [evidence review](#) and a commitment to serious action on childhood obesity, added the UK to the list of [seventeen countries](#) which now have sugary drinks taxes.

As a matter of urgency, we urge Cabinet to strengthen its plans to reduce childhood obesity and dental caries by introducing a 20% excise tax on sugary drinks in the forthcoming budget. The evidence, health professionals, and the public strongly support this measure, and current and future generations of New Zealand children will be the beneficiaries of this legacy.

Signed by the following health professors:

NAME	DISCIPLINE, DEPARTMENT, INSTITUTION
Alistair Woodward	Epidemiology, School of Population Health, University of Auckland
Andrew Hornblow	Emeritus / public health, School of Medicine, University of Otago, Christchurch
Ann Richardson	Epidemiology, Wayne Francis Cancer Epidemiology Research Group, University of Canterbury
Barry Taylor	Paediatrics, School of Medicine, University of Otago, Dunedin
Bernhard Breier	Nutrition, School of Food and Nutrition, Massey University, Albany
Boyd Swinburn	Population nutrition, School of Population Health, University of Auckland
Bruce Arroll	General practice, School Population Health, University of Auckland
Chris Bullen	Public health medicine, National Institute for Health Innovation, University of Auckland
Clare Wall	Nutrition, School of Medical Sciences, University of Auckland
Cliona Ni Mhurchu	Population nutrition, National Institute for Health Innovation, University of Auckland
David Cameron-Smith	Nutrition, Liggins Institute, The University of Auckland
David McBride	Occupational epidemiology, Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, University of Otago, Dunedin

David Murdoch	Pathology, The Infection Group, University of Otago, Christchurch
Dawn Elder	Paediatrics and child health, Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, University of Otago, Wellington
Dee Mangin	General practice, Department of General Practice, University of Otago, Christchurch
Diana Lennon	Paediatrics, School of Medicine, University of Auckland
Diana Sarfati	Cancer epidemiology, Department of Public Health, University of Otago, Wellington
Don Schwass	Preventive and restorative dentistry, School of Dentistry, University of Otago, Dunedin
Doug Sellman	Psychiatry & addiction medicine, Department of Psychological Medicine, University of Otago, Christchurch
Elaine Rush	Nutrition, School of Sport and Recreation, Auckland University of Technology
Faafetai Sopoaga	Pacific health, Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, University of Otago, Dunedin
George Thomson	Tobacco control, Department of Public Health, University of Otago, Wellington
Gillian Abel	Public health, Department of Population Health, University of Otago, Christchurch
Harvey White	Cardiology, School of Medicine, University of Auckland
Jane Coad	Nutrition, Massey Institute of Food Science and Technology, Massey University, Palmerston North
Janet Hoek	Marketing, Department of Marketing, University of Otago, Dunedin
Jennie Connor	Public health medicine, Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, University of Otago, Dunedin
Jeremy Krebs	Diabetes and endocrinology, Edgar Diabetes and Obesity Research Centre, University of Otago, Wellington
Jeroen Douwes	Public health medicine, Centre for Public Health Research, Massey University, Wellington
Jim Mann	Nutrition and diabetes, Edgar Diabetes and Obesity Research Centre, University of Otago, Dunedin
Jonathan Broadbent	Preventive and restorative dentistry, Sir John Walsh Research Institute, University of Otago, Dunedin
John Broughton	Dentistry, Department of Oral Diagnostic and Surgical Sciences, University of Otago, Dunedin
Les Toop	General practice, Department of General Practice, University of Otago, Dunedin

Louise Signal	Public health, Department of Public Health, University of Otago, Wellington
Luts Beckert	Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Otago, Christchurch
Mark Elwood	Epidemiology, School of Population Health, University of Auckland
Marlena Kruger	Nutrition, School of Food and Nutrition, Massey University, Palmerston North
Mauro Farella	Orthodontics, Department of Oral Sciences, University of Otago, Dunedin
Michael Baker	Public health medicine, Department of Public Health, University of Otago, Wellington
Michael Keall	Public health, Department of Public health, University of Otago, Wellington
Murray Skeaff	Nutrition, Department of Human Nutrition, University of Otago, Dunedin
Murray Thomson	Public health dentistry, Sir John Walsh Research Institute, University of Otago, Dunedin
Ngaire Kerse	General practice, School of Population Health, University of Auckland
Nick Wilson	Public health medicine, Department of Public Health, University of Otago, Wellington
Nicholas Chandler	Endodontics, Department of Oral Rehabilitation, University of Otago, Dunedin
Papaarangi Reid	Maori health, Department of Maori Health, University of Auckland
Patricia Priest	Epidemiology and public health, School of Medicine, University of Otago, Dunedin
Paul Brunton	Restorative dentistry, Department of Oral Rehabilitation University of Otago, Dunedin
Peter Crampton	Public health medicine, Division of Health Sciences, University of Otago, Dunedin
Peter Davis	Health sociology, COMPASS Research Centre, University of Auckland
Philip Gendall	Emeritus / public health, Marketing, Department of Marketing, University of Otago, Dunedin
Philippa Howden-Chapman	Public health, Department of Public Health, University of Otago, Wellington
Rachael Taylor	Medicine, Edgar Diabetes and Obesity Research Centre, University of Otago, Dunedin

Richard Edwards	Public health medicine, Department of Public Health, University of Otago, Wellington
Robert Beaglehole	Emeritus / epidemiology, School of Population Health, University of Auckland
Robert Doughty	Preventive Cardiology, School of Medicine, University of Auckland
Robert Scragg	Epidemiology, School of Population Health, University of Auckland
Robin Gauld	Health policy and systems, Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, University of Otago, Dunedin
Robert McGee	Health promotion, Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, University of Otago, Dunedin
Rod Jackson	Epidemiology, School of Population Health, University of Auckland
Roger Hughes	Public health nutrition, School of Public Health, Massey University, Wellington
Ruth Bonita	Emeritus / epidemiology, School of Population Health, University of Auckland
Rozanne Kruger	Dietetics and nutrition, School of Food and Nutrition, Massey University, Albany
Sally Casswell	Public health, SHORE and Whariki Research Centre, Massey University, Auckland
Shanthi Ameratunga	Public health medicine, School of Population Health, University of Auckland
Sheila Skeaff	Nutrition, Department of Human Nutrition, University of Otago, Dunedin
Simon Hales	Environmental epidemiology, Department of public health, University of Otago, Wellington
Stephen Chambers	Pathology, Department of Pathology, University of Otago, Christchurch
Sue Pullon	General practice, Department of Primary Health Care & General Practice, University of Otago, Wellington
Susan Morton	Epidemiology, Centre for Longitudinal Research, University of Auckland
Susan Wells	Public health medicine, School of Population Health, University of Auckland
Tim Cundy	Diabetes, School of Medicine, University of Auckland
Tony Blakely	Epidemiology, Department of Public Health, University of Otago, Wellington
Wayne Cutfield	Paediatrics, Liggins Institute, University of Auckland

## **Annex 1: Examples of authoritative bodies which have reviewed the evidence and recommend fiscal policies such as sugary drinks taxes**

[World Health Organization Commission on Ending Childhood Obesity](#), 2016

[Public Health England](#), 2015

[Lancet Obesity Series](#), 2015

[British Medical Association](#), 2015

[World Cancer Research Fund International](#), 2015

[Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development](#) (OECD), 2014

[New Zealand Medical Association](#), 2014

[McKinsey Global Institute](#), 2014

[Credit Suisse](#), 2013

[Childhood Obesity Foundation](#) (includes American Medical Association, American Academy of Pediatrics, American Public Health Association, and Oral Health America), 2012

## **Annex 2: Standard industry arguments about tax and responses**

### *1. Taxes won't change people's habits*

Taxes certainly change the consumption of tobacco and alcohol and evidence from [over 50](#) studies in the published literature suggests the same is true for targeted sugary drinks taxes.

### *2. Education is a more effective way to change behaviour than taxes*

Education is necessary as part of a comprehensive program to improve diet but by itself has only very small effects.

### *3. No single food is responsible for the obesity epidemic*

True, but that is not an argument against trying to reduce consumption of those products, like sugary drinks, which have no nutritional benefits and directly contribute to obesity, diabetes and dental caries.

### *4. The food industry can contribute to solutions through voluntary measures*

This is possible for measures which do not conflict with the profit-making requirements of business, such as selling more diet products, but for 'sell less' measures, taxes and regulations are needed.

### *5. A sugary drinks tax is not broadly supported*

False. The list of authoritative bodies recommending sugary drinks taxes is very long and the majority of the New Zealand public support a sugary drinks tax with funding directed to childhood obesity prevention programs.

6. *A sugary drinks tax is regressive and penalises people on low incomes*

This is only true for those who do not reduce their consumption. Since people on lower incomes consume more sugary drinks and reduce consumption more in response to a price rise, they get proportionally greater health benefits, and may even spend less in total on sugary drinks.

7. *A sugary drinks tax is complex and expensive to administer*

Eighteen countries have sugary drinks taxes in place already they can be relatively simple and are actually cost-saving to implement because of reduced health care costs.

8. *A sugary drinks tax would harm business and cost jobs*

They would only potentially harm the sugary drinks businesses and since that is a low-employment industry, new employment related to other foods would create a net increase in jobs.

9. *A rising tax take proves a sugary drinks tax is not reducing consumption*

False. When a new tax is put in place, tax revenue will increase even with marked reductions in consumption, even allowing for GST (under plausible scenarios).

10. *An excise tax is treated like a normal business costs and is spread over all company products creating no price differential signal for sugary drinks*

The evidence from sugary drinks taxes which have been implemented is that the full amount of the tax tends to be **passed through** onto the retail price of sugary drinks, though it is possible for companies to undermine the public health intent of the tax by spreading it across all its products. Careful design and implementation of the tax is needed.

-----

(1) In total, about **35,000 children and 275,000 adults** have rotten teeth extracted every year under general or local anaesthetic.

Public Health Expert Briefing (ISSN 2816-1203)

---

**Source URL:**

<https://www.phcc.org.nz/briefing/open-letter-cabinet-ministers-74-health-professors-calling-sugary-drinks-tax>