



## Note to the Havelock North Inquiry - Think Big

25 September 2016

Alistair Woodward, Simon Hales

An Independent Inquiry is now investigating the cause of the water-borne outbreak caused by *Campylobacter* in Havelock North. In this blog we consider the background to this issue and argue the Inquiry must not miss the opportunity to think up-stream, on the scale of water catchments, agricultural economies and climate systems.

“Alarming new threats to NZ’s drinking water” is the headline on the cover of a recent New Zealand Listener. [It refers](#) to the outbreak in August in Havelock North, in which more than 5000 people, a third of the population, became acutely ill after contamination of the town’s water supply with the organism *Campylobacter*, and which is now the subject of an

Independent Inquiry.

It certainly is alarming that so many people can become sick so quickly due to a failure in the supply of drinking water. But the threat is not new: outbreaks of this kind have occurred in New Zealand previously, many times. In Darfield in 2012 more than a hundred people were affected when animal effluent was washed into a well by heavy rain. A NZ review of campylobacteriosis reported that there were 42 outbreaks between 2000 and 2004 in which water was considered to be the likely source (Table 6.8 in: Wilson, 2005). Furthermore, the review pointed to six detailed publications and reports on water-borne campylobacteriosis outbreaks during this period (Table 5.1 in Wilson, 2005).

Why is it that outbreaks like this continue to occur, when the causes are well-understood, and one would think a rich country such as New Zealand has the means to prevent them?

Looking for clues, we turned to a 2007 paper on drinking water outbreaks in affluent countries over 30 years (Hrudey & Hrudey, 2007). The authors examined 73 case reports, describing only a small proportion of all outbreaks, but affecting nevertheless hundreds of thousands of people. What is clear from the reports is that it is impossible to completely eliminate the potential for faecal contamination of water supplies in and around most human settlements. To keep pathogens at bay, there need to be multiple lines of defence. When the first defences are breached, the loading of pathogens tends to be erratic, difficult to predict and unevenly distributed through the supply, so treatment processes must be robust and thorough.

But in many countries (including New Zealand) the current processes for identifying and treating water-borne pathogens are imperfect. Some pathogens (*Cryptosporidium* for instance) are not affected by chemical disinfection, the method used most commonly to cleanse drinking water. The tests used for monitoring supplies (such as those applied in New Zealand for the presence of the indicator organism *E. coli*) provide only a guide to what might be in the water and may miss the presence of significant pathogens.

Moreover, for tests that rely on bacterial culture (such as for *E. coli*) there is inevitably a delay of two days or more between taking the sample and receiving the result. Since waterborne outbreaks are rare the indicator tests will inevitably return a preponderance of false positives, an epidemiological truth that is not widely-understood.

These points fit the Havelock North story. The water to the town was drawn from what was deemed a "secure supply", but in hindsight it appears there was contamination, probably sometime in early August, by faecal organisms, probably coming from sheep or cattle. The supply was untreated, and by the time the first positive monitoring results were confirmed, hundreds of people were already showing the signs of campylobacteriosis. In the past Havelock North drew its water from three bores; one of these was closed in 2015 after high levels of *E. coli* were detected (apparently without human cases of illness).

The big lesson from Havelock North, some have argued, is that we must chlorinate all water supplies. Failing to do this is "like driving without a seat belt. It isn't safe, and never was". However, chlorination won't deal with all pathogens, as already noted, and getting the dose right for sensitive organisms such as *Campylobacter* requires careful monitoring and constant attention to the supply. Who will do this for the numerous small untreated supplies around New Zealand such as those serving Pakanae (population 160), Kaihu-Dargaville (324) and Baylys Beach (228)? And some may think it is a good idea to avoid using large amounts of chlorine in the public supply, wherever possible, not just for reasons of taste,

but also, possibly, for health reasons (such as risks associated with chlorinated by-products) (Wang, 2007).

Undoubtedly, there are improvements that should be made in the operations of drinking water supplies, and the safety procedures for testing them and responding to contamination. Perhaps chlorination or other methods of disinfections should be more widely applied. But there are also bigger matters at hand.

Fresh water resources in New Zealand are stressed by rising demand for water, growing populations, changes in land use and the expansion of livestock industries, dairy farming in particular. All these forces are present in Hawkes Bay, including increasing draw down from aquifers for commercial purposes (such as the [Miracle Water plant](#) in Waipatu) and the spread of intensive feedlot operations.

This stress is reflected in [deteriorating measures](#) of fresh water quality, including a rise in nitrate levels and a fall in biodiversity, across the country, but most marked in areas where dairy farming is on the rise. According to [the most recent 5 year report](#) from the Hawkes Bay Regional Council, a third of fresh water monitoring sites show deteriorating quality, more than double the national average.

Environmental pressures are evident also in the health statistics. New Zealand has very high rates of endemic gastroenteritis (illness not related to specific outbreaks). National rates of enteric illness caused by organisms such as *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* exceed by a wide margin the rates in Australia, the UK and the United States (Lal et al, 2015). The incidence of campylobacteriosis was [halved by improvements in food safety](#) in the New Zealand chicken industry, but it is still one and a half times that of Australia (Sears et al, 2011).

Climate is another important driver of disease risk. Of all the outbreaks of waterborne disease reported to the US Environmental Protection Agency between 1948 and 1994, half were preceded by extremely heavy rainfall (defined as daily precipitation above the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile) (Curriero et al 2001). An example is the largest ever reported waterborne disease outbreak, in Milwaukee, USA in 1993, when more than 400,000 cases of illness were caused by heavy rainfall and runoff that overwhelmed the capacity of the drinking water treatment plant (MacKenzie et al, 1994).

The outbreak in Havelock North was preceded by the heaviest daily rainfall recorded in at least 10 years by the Hastings automatic weather station: 82mm in the 24 hours to 9am on 6 August. The rainfall may have caused the first substantial runoff in the area for nearly a year (Figure 1 below). It is our hunch, according to the timing and intensity of precipitation, that this extreme weather event played a part in contamination of the water supply. It is relevant of course to note as well that projections of climate change anticipate up to a [4 fold increase in extreme heavy rainfall](#) in most parts of New Zealand in the next 50 years (though Hawkes Bay may become drier overall) (MfE, 2008).




Figure 1. Daily rainfall and estimated runoff at Hastings automatic weather station, September 2015 to September 2016 (data from: [cliflo.niwa.co.nz](http://cliflo.niwa.co.nz))

The late and great epidemiologist Tony McMichael published a paper in 1999 entitled “From hazard to habitat: rethinking environmental health” (McMichael 1999). He argued that the arrival of reductionist thinking in modern medicine had its parallel in environmental health. This was the shift from broad assessments of the quality of environments to a tight focus on specific physical, chemical and microbiological agents. Once hazards are identified, the next step, according to this view of the world, is to launch therapeutics-style search and destroy missions. McMichael pointed out such an approach neglects prior causes, and assumes, unwisely, the inexhaustible integrity of biological and physical systems that are the ultimate health promoting agencies. This is why, he argued so crisply almost 20 years ago, environmental health should up-scale.

Havelock North is yet another signal that there are serious big picture environmental problems in New Zealand. Of course it is important to detect and deal effectively with the threats *in* our drinking water supply. But it would be a great mistake to be trapped by the hazard paradigm; this issue is bigger than organisms and disinfectants. We have to also tackle threats *to* our water supply. This requires up-stream thinking, on the scale of water catchments, agricultural economies and climate systems. It would be a great waste if the Independent Inquiry focused only on the proximate causes of the outbreak. The bigger question is what we need to do to protect the quality and sustainability of the New Zealand habitat.

## References

1. Curriero FC, Patz JA, Rose JB, Lele S. The association between extreme precipitation and waterborne disease outbreaks in the United States 1948-1994. *AJPH* 2001;91:1194-99
2. Hrudefy SE, Hrudefy EJ. Published case studies of waterborne disease outbreaks – evidence of a recurrent threat. *Water Environment Research* 2007;79(3):233-245
3. Lal A, Lill A, McIntyre M, Hales S, Baker MG, French NP. Environmental change and

enteric zoonoses in New Zealand: a systematic review of the evidence. ANZJPH 2014;39:63-8

4. MacKenzie WR, Hoxie NJ, Proctor ME et al. Massive waterborne outbreak of *Cryptosporidium* infection associated with a filtered public water supply. NEJM 1994;331:161-7
5. McMichael AJ. From hazard to habitat: re-thinking environmental health. Epidemiology 1999;10:460-4
6. Ministry for the Environment. Climate Change Effects and Impacts Assessment: A Guidance Manual for Local Government in New Zealand. 2nd Edition. Mullan B; Wratt D; Dean S; Hollis M; Allan S; Williams T, Kenny G and Ministry for the Environment (MfE). 2008: Wellington).
7. Sears A, Baker MG, Wilson N, Marshall J, Muellner P, Campbell DM, Lake RJ, French NP. Marked campylobacteriosis decline after interventions aimed at poultry, New Zealand. Emerg Inf Dis 2011;17:1007-11
8. Wang GS, Deng YC, Lin TF. Cancer risk assessment from trihalomethanes in drinking water. Sci Total Environ. 2007;387(1-3):86-95.
9. Wilson N. A systematic review of the aetiology of human campylobacteriosis in New Zealand. Report to the Food Safety Authority of New Zealand. 2005.  
[http://www.foodsafety.govt.nz/elibrary/industry/Systematic\\_Review-Literature\\_Evidence.pdf](http://www.foodsafety.govt.nz/elibrary/industry/Systematic_Review-Literature_Evidence.pdf)

Public Health Expert Briefing (ISSN 2816-1203)

---

**Source URL:** <https://www.phcc.org.nz/briefing/note-havelock-north-inquiry-think-big>